

U.S.S.N. 10/053,929

Filed: January 22, 2002

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**Remarks****Response to Restriction Requirement**


In the Office Action mailed July 16, 2004, the claims were divided into three groups, Group I, claims 1-15, drawn to a composition; Group II, claims 16-21, drawn to a method of making the composition; and Group III, claim 22-33, drawn to a method of using the composition. In response, applicants elect Group II, claims 16-21, without traverse. In the amendment, applicants have canceled claims 1-15 and 22-33.

Response to Election of Species

The Office Action also required election of a species from among the methods for removing a volatile solvent in claim 17. In response, applicants elect for examination spray drying without traverse. It is understood that all claims will be considered on the merits once the claims to the elected species are determined to be patentable.

Favorable consideration of claims 16-21 is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,


Rivka D. Monheit
Reg. No. 48,731

Date: August 6, 2004
PABST PATENT GROUP, LLP
400 Colony Square, Suite 1200
1201 Peachtree Street
Atlanta, Georgia 30361
(404) 879-2152
(404) 879-2160 (fax)